NO PREP

The Maya Collapse

What Really Happened in the 9th Century?

Includes: Student reading, case study, differentiated questions, teacher key

Name: Period: Date:

The Maya Collapse: What Really Happened in the 9th Century?

The Wrong Question

The phrase 'Maya collapse' implies that something failed completely — that a civilization ended. History has presented the abandonment of the great southern Maya cities in the 9th century CE as one of archaeology's great mysteries, a civilization vanishing without explanation. But this framing contains two fundamental errors. First, the Maya did not vanish — millions of Maya people were alive when the Spanish arrived in the 16th century, and millions more are alive today. Second, the abandonment of specific cities in one region over the course of roughly a century is not 'collapse' in any total sense — it is a regional political and demographic reorganization. Understanding what actually happened in the 9th century requires abandoning the dramatic

Name: Period: Date:

The Maya Collapse: What Really Happened in the 9th Century?

The Wrong Question

The phrase 'Maya collapse' implies that something failed completely, that a civilization ended. History has presented the abandonment of the great southern Maya cities in the 9th century CE as one of archaeology's great mysteries, a civilization vanishing without explanation. But this framing contains two fundamental errors. First, the Maya did not vanish. Millions of Maya people were alive when the Spanish arrived in the 16th century, and millions more are alive today. Second, the abandonment of specific cities in one region over the course of roughly a century is not 'collapse' in any total sense. That abandonment is a regional political and demographic reorganization. Understanding what actually happened in the 9th century requires abandoning the dramatic mystery and looking at what the archaeological evidence actually shows. Between approximately 800 CE and 950 CE, the major cities of the southern Maya lowlands (Tikal, Palenque, Copan, Quirigua, and dozens of others) saw dramatic reductions in population and the cessation of monument building. The political systems that had sustained these cities for centuries broke down. But population did not simply disappear. People migrated northward to the Yucatan Peninsula, where cities like Chichen Itza and Uxmal were flourishing during this same period, and into highland Guatemala, where Maya populations remained dense.

Evidence One: Climate Change and Drought

The most compelling physical evidence for the timing and cause of the 9th-century regional decline comes from paleoclimatic data. Core samples from lake beds across the Maya lowlands preserve layers of sediment that record rainfall patterns going back thousands of years. These records show a series of severe droughts occurring between approximately 810 and 910 CE, precisely overlapping with the period of city

abandonment. The droughts were not uniform or continuous. They came in pulses, separated by periods of normal rainfall, which may have extended the period of stress as populations repeatedly attempted to rebuild only to face another drought cycle. The drought hypothesis is supported by evidence of agricultural failure: eroded soils, reduced pollen from crop plants, and evidence of declining nutrition in human skeletal remains from this period. Deforestation, the accumulated result of centuries of forest clearing for farmland and fuel, worsened the droughts by reducing the moisture that forests return to the atmosphere through transpiration. The Maya had inadvertently made their environment more vulnerable to natural drought cycles through their own agricultural success.

Evidence Two: Warfare and Political Instability

Drought alone does not explain the pattern of city abandonment. If drought were the only factor, we would expect populations to migrate out of the region without political violence, a relatively orderly reorganization. Instead, the archaeological record shows evidence of widespread warfare during this period: burning of elite buildings, destruction of monuments, abandonment of cities after apparent sieges, and a dramatic decline in the production of luxury goods that had sustained the political economy of Maya city-states. As resources became scarce, the competition between city-states intensified. The elaborate alliance systems and tributary relationships that had organized the Classic Maya world began to fracture. Cities that had been subordinate allies revolted against their overlords. Elites competed violently for control of shrinking resources. The evidence from epigraphy, the inscribed record of Maya history, shows the last Long Count dates at most major cities clustering in the early 9th century, followed by silence. No new monuments were erected. The scribes and sculptors who had recorded centuries of dynastic history simply stopped working, or were gone.

Evidence Three: The Population Kept Moving

One of the strongest arguments against the word 'collapse' is that the populations of the southern lowlands did not die in place — they went somewhere. Settlement surveys in the northern Yucatan show population increases during precisely the period when the south was declining. The cities of Chichen Itza and Uxmal reach their peaks of construction and population in the 9th and 10th centuries — exactly when Tikal and Palenque are falling silent. This is not collapse; it is migration and

regional redistribution. Similarly, the archaeological record from the highlands of Guatemala shows continuous Maya population throughout this period. Communities in highland valleys maintained agricultural systems, continued to produce ceramics in recognizable Maya styles, and sustained cultural traditions. The K'iche' Maya of highland Guatemala would go on to produce the Popol Vuh — the great Maya creation epic written down in the 16th century but preserving oral traditions centuries older. None of this suggests a civilization in collapse.

Why Millions of Maya Are Alive Today

Perhaps the most powerful counter-argument to the collapse narrative is the present. The 2018 census of Guatemala counted approximately 6.5 million people who identified as indigenous Maya. In Mexico, the 2020 census counted more than 500,000 Maya-language speakers in the state of Yucatan alone, plus many speakers of other Mayan languages in Chiapas and Tabasco. The Maya languages have not died. The ceremonial calendar has not been interrupted. Communities maintain connections to their ancestral sites, their oral histories, and their agricultural traditions. When archaeologists speak of 'the Maya collapse,' they are speaking of the abandonment of specific buildings in specific places over a specific century — not the death of a people or a culture.

Key Information: The 9th-Century Maya Decline

Detail	Information
Period of Decline	Approximately 800 CE – 950 CE in the southern Maya lowlands
Affected Cities	Tikal, Palenque, Copan, Quirigua, and dozens of other major sites
Climate Evidence	Lake core samples show severe drought cycles 810–910 CE
Agricultural Role	Deforestation worsened droughts; skeletal evidence of malnutrition
Warfare Evidence	Burning of elites buildings, monument destruction, political fracturing

Detail	Information
Migration Evidence	Population increased in northern Yucatan (Chichen Itza, Uxmal) simultaneously
Highland Continuity	Maya populations remained dense in Guatemalan highlands throughout
Maya Today	6.5 million identify as Maya in Guatemala; 500,000+ Maya-language speakers in Yucatan state

Case Study: Lady Ix and the Road North

Lady Ix Chak was a minor noble from a city that had once paid tribute to Tikal but had not erected a stela in eleven years. The city was not dead — people still lived there, still farmed the milpa plots outside the walls, still went to the market. But the palace was half-empty. Three of the city's seven noble families had already left. Her husband had gone to the main plaza three mornings in a row now, looking at the unfinished temple. The construction had stopped when the city could no longer pay the laborers. The stone sat where it had been set down, uncarved. 'The drought will break,' he said. He had been saying it for two years. Lady Ix had relatives in a city four days north, on the edge of the Yucatan lowlands where the rains still came reliably. She had received word that her cousin's household had room. She had begun making inventory of what could be carried. 'It might,' she said. 'But if it does, we can return.' She looked at the unfinished temple. 'And if it doesn't, we will be alive to find out.' They left on the first clear morning of the dry season. They were not refugees — they were practical people making a rational decision. They were not the last to leave, and they were not the first.

1. How does Lady Ix's decision to migrate north reflect the reading's argument that the Maya 'collapse' was really a regional migration and reorganization rather than a true collapse? What is she preserving, and what is she leaving behind?

---

2. The case study describes Lady Ix and her husband as 'not refugees — practical people making a rational decision.' How does this framing challenge typical narratives about the Maya 'collapse' as a catastrophic or mysterious event?

Multiple Choice

1. What does paleoclimatic evidence from lake bed core samples reveal about the 9th century CE in the Maya lowlands?

a) A series of severe drought cycles between approximately 810 and 910 CE

b) Volcanic ash layers suggesting major eruptions disrupted agriculture

c) A period of unusually heavy rainfall that flooded all agricultural fields

d) Evidence of a prolonged ice age that made farming impossible

2. What is one of the strongest arguments against calling the 9th-century decline a 'collapse'?

a) DNA analysis shows the Maya population actually grew during the 9th century

b) The population increase in northern Yucatan cities during this same period shows migration rather than death

c) Spanish records from the 1500s confirm all Maya cities were continuously occupied through the colonial period

d) No Maya cities were actually abandoned during this period

3. According to the reading, how did deforestation make the Maya more vulnerable to drought?

a) Cleared land allowed stronger winds that dried out fields

b) Deforestation exposed limestone bedrock that completely absorbed and evaporated all rainfall

c) Tree roots had been holding water underground; without them wells dried up

d) Forest removal reduced the moisture that trees return to the atmosphere, worsening drought

Matching

Write the letter of the correct definition on the line next to each term.

Term	Definition
1. ___ Paleoclimatic	A) The unbroken persistence of a cultural tradition, language, or community across centuries
2. ___ Abandonment	B) Relating to ancient climate conditions reconstructed from lake cores, ice cores, or tree rings
3. ___ Resilience	C) The movement of people from one region to another, here from the southern lowlands to the northern Yucatan
4. ___ Migration	D) The process of a population leaving a city or region, often evidenced by cessation of monument-building
5. ___ Continuity	E) The ability of a people or culture to adapt, reorganize, and persist through severe challenges

Fill-in-the-Blank

1. While southern cities declined in the 9th century, northern Yucatan cities like Chichen Itza and _____ were reaching their population peaks.

2. Lake bed core samples show severe drought cycles in the Maya lowlands between approximately _____ and 910 CE.

3. The 2018 Guatemala census counted approximately 6.5 million people who identified as _____ Maya.

Short Answer

Answer each question in 2-4 complete sentences.

1. Explain why the term 'collapse' is considered misleading by the reading's author. What more accurate description does the evidence support?

_____

_____

_____

2. How did the combination of drought and warfare create a compounding crisis for Maya city-states in the 9th century? Why was each factor worse because the other was also present?

_____

_____

_____

3. How does the presence of millions of Maya people today, speaking Maya languages and maintaining cultural traditions, change how we should discuss ancient Maya history in the classroom?

_____

_____

_____