NO PREP

Free Trade vs. Protectionism

Economic Pros and Cons

Includes: Student reading, case study, differentiated questions, teacher key

Name: Period: Date:

Free Trade vs. Protectionism: Economic Pros and Cons

What Is Free Trade?

Free trade occurs when countries allow goods and services to cross borders with minimal government interference—no tariffs, quotas, or subsidies. By reducing trade barriers, nations can access larger markets and more diverse products. In theory, free trade promotes competition, driving firms to innovate, lower prices, and improve quality. Consumers benefit from a wider array of choices, while producers can exploit economies of scale by selling to global demand. Countries often negotiate trade agreements—like the World Trade Organization's (WTO) treaties—to lock in lower barriers and resolve disputes, fostering legal predictability for businesses.

Benefits of Free Trade

Name: **Period:** **Date:**

Free Trade vs. Protectionism: Economic Pros and Cons

What Is Free Trade?

Free trade occurs when countries allow goods and services to cross borders with minimal government interference. That means no tariffs, quotas, or subsidies. By reducing trade barriers, nations can access larger markets and more diverse products. In theory, free trade promotes competition, driving firms to innovate, lower prices, and improve quality. Consumers benefit from a wider array of choices, while producers can exploit economies of scale by selling to global demand. Countries often negotiate trade agreements, like the World Trade Organization's (WTO) treaties, to lock in lower barriers and resolve disputes, fostering legal predictability for businesses.

Benefits of Free Trade

When markets open up, comparative advantage kicks in: nations export what they produce relatively efficiently and import what they produce less efficiently. This specialization raises total world output. For example, if Country A excels in electronics and Country B in textiles, both gain by focusing on their strengths and trading. Consumers enjoy lower prices; producers tap into larger customer bases; resources shift toward high-value industries. Free trade can spur innovation as firms face foreign competitors. Studies show that countries that embraced trade liberalization in the 1990s saw GDP growth rates 1–2 percentage points higher than protected peers.

Why Countries Protect

Not all embrace free trade. Protectionism (using tariffs, quotas, or subsidies) shields domestic industries from foreign competition. Governments may protect fledgling sectors under the "infant industry" argument, granting them time to

develop. They might also defend jobs in politically sensitive industries like steel or agriculture. From a national security standpoint, nations worry about relying on foreign suppliers for critical goods. Protectionist policies can raise domestic production, preserve employment, and generate tariff revenue for public coffers.

Drawbacks of Protectionism

Shielding industries comes at a cost. Higher tariffs inflate prices for consumers and downstream producers, who pay more for inputs. Quotas restrict supply, leading to shortages or black markets. Subsidies strain government budgets, potentially crowding out spending on education or healthcare. Protectionism stifles competition, reducing firms' incentives to innovate or improve efficiency. Retaliatory measures by trading partners can trigger trade wars, harming exporters. In the 1930s, U.S. tariffs under the Smoot-Hawley Act led to global trade contracting by one-third and exacerbated the Great Depression.

Balancing Trade Policy

Most economies adopt a mixed approach: liberalizing sectors with clear competitive advantages while protecting strategically vital or nascent industries. Tools like safeguards offer temporary relief, and anti-dumping duties counter unfair pricing by foreign firms. Policymakers weigh consumer welfare, employment, and national interests when crafting trade policy. Comprehensive agreements (e.g., USMCA) often include provisions on labor, environment, and intellectual property—extending beyond traditional tariff cuts to ensure balanced benefits.

Key Information

Benefit	Effect
Lower Consumer Prices	Imports introduce competition, forcing local firms to cut costs.
Higher Export Revenues	Firms access larger markets, boosting sales and investment.
Innovation & Quality	Competition spurs R&D and product improvements.
Resource Reallocation	Labor and capital move to more productive sectors.

Case Study Excerpt: U.S. Steel Tariffs and Their Ripple Effects

In March 2018, the United States imposed a 25 percent tariff on imported steel and a 10 percent tariff on aluminum, citing national security under Section 232 of the Trade Expansion Act. The administration argued that cheap foreign steel—particularly from China—threatened domestic producers. U.S. steel mills reported a 5 percent increase in output and rehired hundreds of workers laid off in prior years. However, domestic steel users—automakers, construction firms, and appliance manufacturers—faced higher input costs, passing price increases onto consumers. One Midwest auto plant reported a $50 million rise in steel expenses, forcing temporary production slowdowns and delaying wage raises. Global trading partners retaliated: the European Union slapped tariffs on $3.3 billion of U.S. goods, including bourbon and motorcycles. By late 2019, U.S. steel prices were 10 percent above pre-tariff levels, while employment gains in steel were dwarfed by layoffs in downstream industries. Economists estimated a net loss of roughly 75,000 manufacturing jobs. Small businesses complained of unpredictable material costs, hampering long-term planning. This episode underscores the trade-off: protecting producers can harm consumers and other sectors, while retaliation risks escalating into a trade war. Lessons learned include the importance of targeted assistance—rather than broad tariffs—and the need to consider downstream effects when designing protectionist measures.

1. What were the primary benefits and costs of the steel tariffs for U.S. producers and consumers?

2. How did trading partners' retaliatory measures amplify the policy's economic impact?

Multiple Choice

1. A quota differs from a tariff because it:

    a) Penalizes exporters

    b) Subsidizes domestic firms

    c) Sets a maximum quantity of imports

    d) Raises government revenue directly

2. Protectionist measures can lead to:

    a) Lower prices for final consumers

    b) Retaliatory tariffs

    c) Reduced domestic production

    d) Increased market competition

3. An "infant industry" argument justifies protection when:

    a) Consumers demand cheaper imports

    b) A mature, established sector needs temporary support

    c) Foreign firms engage in dumping

    d) A new sector cannot compete due to scale disadvantages

Matching

Write the letter of the correct definition on the line next to each term.

Term	Definition
1. ___ Tariff	A) Financial support to domestic producers
2. ___ Subsidy	B) Selling abroad below production cost
3. ___ Quota	C) Temporary relief measure against import surges
4. ___ Dumping	D) A limit on the number of imported goods
5. ___ Safeguard	E) A tax on imported goods

Fill-in-the-Blank

1. Tariffs increase the _____ of imported goods for domestic buyers.

2. _____ wars occur when countries impose successive retaliatory trade barriers.

3. Free trade agreements often include rules on _____ property to protect innovations.

Short Answer

Answer each question in 2-4 complete sentences.

1. Explain why downstream industries might oppose tariffs on raw materials.

_____

_____

_____

2. Describe one way governments can assist industries without broad protectionist measures.

_____

_____

_____

3. How can global institutions (like the WTO) help resolve trade disputes?

_____

_____

_____