NO PREP

Animal Courtship Biology

Sexual Selection in the Wild

Includes: Student reading, case study, differentiated questions, teacher key

Name: Period: Date:

Animal Courtship Biology: Sexual Selection in the Wild

Valentine's Day in the Wild: Why Courtship Even Exists

Valentine's Day makes it look like love is all candles and compliments, but in biology, "romance" is really about reproduction and the competition to pass on genes. Courtship behaviors—songs, dances, colors, gifts, even scent signals—exist because they can increase an animal's chances of producing offspring. This is where sexual selection comes in. While natural selection favors traits that help an organism survive (like camouflage or speed), sexual selection favors traits that help an organism mate successfully (like a louder call, brighter feathers, or stronger display). Sometimes those goals work together, but often they don't. In

Name: **Period:** **Date:**

Animal Courtship Biology: Sexual Selection in the Wild

Valentine's Day in the Wild: Why Courtship Even Exists

Valentine's Day makes it look like love is all candles and compliments, but in biology, "romance" is really about reproduction and the competition to pass on genes. Courtship behaviors (songs, dances, colors, gifts, even scent signals) exist because they can increase an animal's chances of producing offspring. This is where sexual selection comes in. While natural selection favors traits that help an organism survive (like camouflage or speed), sexual selection favors traits that help an organism mate successfully (like a louder call, brighter feathers, or stronger display). Sometimes those goals work together, but often they don't. In many species, one sex invests more in offspring (pregnancy, egg production, feeding young). That investment changes the "dating market" in the wild. The sex that invests more tends to be choosier about mates, while the other sex competes harder to be chosen. That's not a rule for every species, but it's a pattern scientists use to predict courtship behaviors. You can think of courtship as an advertisement: an animal is sending information about fitness (its ability to survive, find resources, avoid disease, and produce healthy offspring). Here's the Valentine connection: people often focus on the look of courtship (the flashy feathers, the heart-shaped gifts), but biology focuses on the function. A courtship display is not just "pretty." It's a strategy, shaped by evolution, to answer a silent question: "Are you a good investment?" Once you see courtship this way, animal behaviors stop being random and start looking like a complicated, competitive communication system.

Signals That Mean Something: The Science of "Honest" Advertising

In the animal world, it's easy to claim you're the best. But it's harder to prove it. That's why many courtship signals work because they are costly. A costly signal is one that takes energy, increases risk, or requires skill. The logic is simple: if the

signal is expensive to produce, only high-quality individuals can afford to produce it well. This idea connects to the costly signal hypothesis (often discussed alongside the "handicap principle" in biology classes). Think about a bird singing for long periods. Singing takes energy, attracts attention, and can even alert predators. If a bird can sing loudly and frequently and still survive, that may signal strong health, good territory, or strong immune function. Similarly, bright coloration can be risky because it makes an animal easier to see. If an animal survives while being noticeable, the signal may suggest it's fast, alert, or living in a safe territory it can defend. Even scent signals and pheromones can be costly because producing them requires resources and may depend on hormone levels and nutrition. Not all signals are honest, though. Some species use deception: bluffing strength or copying other signals. That creates an evolutionary "arms race" where receivers (the choosy individuals) evolve better ways to detect quality, and signalers evolve better ways to persuade. Over time, reliable signals tend to remain reliable because the receiver's choice has consequences. If choosy individuals keep selecting low-quality mates, their offspring may be less likely to survive or reproduce. Valentine's Day is a good reminder: signals matter because they communicate something. In biology, the big question is always: what information is being sent, and how does that information change reproductive success?

Mate Choice and Trade-Offs: Beauty Has a Price Tag

Courtship traits often come with fitness trade-offs. A trade-off means improving one trait can reduce another. For example, putting energy into elaborate feathers might reduce energy available for immune defense. Spending time displaying might reduce time for feeding or hiding. These trade-offs are why sexual selection can create traits that look "impractical" from a survival standpoint, but make sense from a reproduction standpoint. Scientists describe two broad categories of benefits from mate choice. One is direct benefits, like choosing a mate that provides resources, protection, or parental care. For example, a mate might bring food, defend a nest site, or help raise young. The other is indirect benefits, like selecting for "good genes" that improve offspring survival (better disease resistance, stronger body condition, etc.). Real life is messy: animals may use a mix of both. Another important idea is sexual dimorphism, where males and females look different (size, coloration, ornaments). This often happens when sexual selection

pressures act strongly on one sex. But mate choice isn't always "female chooses male." In species where males invest heavily (like some birds that incubate eggs or raise young), females may compete and males may be choosier. Biology doesn't pick sides. It follows investment and opportunity. A final layer is the environment. In bright, open habitats, visual signals may dominate. In dense forests, sound may matter more. In nocturnal species, scent or light signals might be key. Courtship is always shaped by what a species can realistically communicate in its ecosystem. That's why "romance in the wild" is incredibly diverse, and deeply logical once you know what to look for.

Competition, Conflict, and Cooperation: Courtship Isn't Always Mutual

Many courtship interactions are mutual and cooperative: both individuals exchange signals, assess each other, and make choices. But biology also recognizes sexual conflict, where the reproductive interests of two individuals don't perfectly match. For example, one individual may benefit from mating more often, while the other may benefit from being more selective due to higher investment or higher risk. This can lead to behaviors like intense competition, mate guarding, or attempts to reduce a partner's future mating opportunities. It's important to be careful here: humans sometimes hear "sexual conflict" and try to turn it into a moral story. In science class, we describe what happens without excusing harmful behavior in humans. In some species, forced mating attempts exist; scientists study these behaviors as part of evolutionary biology, but we don't romanticize them. Instead, we ask: what conditions make mutual choice more likely, and what conditions increase conflict? Often, conflict rises when mates are scarce, when competition is intense, or when power differences exist due to size, territory, or group structure. Courtship can also involve cooperation in surprising ways. Some species perform duet songs, synchronized dances, or shared nest-building displays. Those behaviors may signal that the pair can coordinate—an advantage when raising young. In those cases, courtship is less about "showing off" and more about showing teamwork. Valentine's Day is a good time to underline a key biology point: courtship is about communication and selection, not fairy tales. The most successful species are not always the flashiest—they're the ones whose strategies fit their environment and maximize reproductive success over time.

Key Information: Courtship Behaviors and Evolutionary Advantages Table

Courtship Behavior	What It Communicates	Likely Evolutionary Advantage
Loud, complex songs	stamina, territory quality	attracts mates; deters rivals
Bright coloration	health/diet/parasite resistance	signals "good genes" or condition
Gift-giving (food/nesting material)	resource access, care ability	direct benefits for mate/offspring
Group displays (leks)	comparison opportunities	helps choosers select high-quality mates
Synchronized pair behavior	coordination, cooperation	improves parenting success

Case Study Excerpt: The Peacock's Tail and the Costly Signal Debate

Peacocks are famous for enormous, colorful tail feathers, and they're often used to explain sexual selection. The tail seems like a survival disadvantage: it's heavy, it requires energy to grow, and it can make quick escape harder. Yet the trait persists because peahens often prefer males with larger, more elaborate tails. Biologists have proposed that the tail functions as a costly signal. If a male can survive despite carrying such an obvious "handicap," he may have strong health, strong muscles, or an immune system that handles parasites well. Some studies have also focused on specific tail features, like eyespot number and symmetry, because symmetry can signal stable development under stress. However, the story is not as simple as "big tail equals best mate." Predation risk, habitat type, and food availability can shift which traits are most advantageous. In safer environments, flashy signals may pay off more. In dangerous environments, natural selection may push back, favoring males that survive rather than males that display. The peacock example reminds us that sexual selection and natural selection can pull traits in opposite directions, and the final outcome depends on the balance between mating success and survival.

1. Why is the peacock tail considered a "costly signal," and what information might it communicate about fitness?

2. According to the excerpt, why might the advantage of a large tail change in different environments?

Multiple Choice

1. Sexual selection is best described as selection that favors traits that:

a) reduce mutation rates and genetic disease risk

b) guarantee long lifespan and health

c) help an organism survive harsh weather and predators

d) increase mating success and reproduction

2. The costly signal hypothesis suggests that signals tend to be reliable because:

a) animals never compete for mates with each other

b) all animal signals are always honest in natural settings, never faked

c) predators and competitors ignore bright visible colors

d) low-quality individuals cannot afford the cost of producing them well

3. A fitness trade-off occurs when:

a) an animal stops reproducing entirely

b) a trait improves survival and reproduction equally every time

c) improving one trait reduces another valuable trait

d) the environment never changes

Matching

Write the letter of the correct definition on the line next to each term.

Term	Definition
1. ___ Sexual dimorphism	A) When reproductive interests don't perfectly align
2. ___ Direct benefits	B) Signals shaped by habitat limits (sound/light/scent)
3. ___ Indirect benefits	C) Benefits like food, protection, or parental care
4. ___ Sexual conflict	D) Differences in appearance between males and females
5. ___ Niche signaling	E) Choosing traits that improve offspring genetic quality

Fill-in-the-Blank

1. A signal that is expensive to produce is often called a _____ signal.

2. Courtship traits shaped by mate choice are examples of _____ selection.

3. Differences in male and female appearance are called sexual _____.

Short Answer

Answer each question in 2-4 complete sentences.

1. Explain how a courtship signal can be "honest" even if animals sometimes deceive.

2. Using the table, pick one behavior and explain how it could increase reproductive success.

3. Describe one way natural selection and sexual selection can pull a trait in opposite directions.