NO PREP

AI Dependence

When the Tool Becomes a Crutch You Can't Put Down

Includes: Student reading, case study, differentiated questions, teacher key

Name: Period: Date:

AI Dependence: When the Tool Becomes a Crutch You Can't Put Down

The Continuum From Tool to Crutch

Every useful tool becomes a dependency to some degree. People who have used a word processor's spell-checker for twenty years tend to be worse spellers than they would have been without it, because the spell-checker removes the consequence of not knowing how to spell. People who have used GPS navigation consistently often cannot navigate familiar routes without it if the app goes down. People who use calculators for all arithmetic operations often cannot accurately estimate numerical quantities without one. These are all mild, largely benign dependencies. The cost of the dependency is modest because the value of the tool is high and the underlying skill, while somewhat diminished, can be recovered with practice. The question worth

Name: Period: Date:

AI Dependence: When the Tool Becomes a Crutch You Can't Put Down

The Continuum From Tool to Crutch

Every useful tool becomes a dependency to some degree. People who have used a word processor's spell-checker for twenty years tend to be worse spellers than they would have been without it, because the spell-checker removes the consequence of not knowing how to spell. People who have used GPS navigation consistently often cannot navigate familiar routes without it if the app goes down. People who use calculators for all arithmetic operations often cannot accurately estimate numerical quantities without one. These are all mild, largely benign dependencies. The cost of the dependency is modest because the value of the tool is high and the underlying skill, while somewhat diminished, can be recovered with practice. The question worth asking about AI tools is whether the dependencies they create are in the same benign category or whether they are qualitatively different. Several indicators suggest the risks are larger than the spell-checker analogy implies. The cognitive functions being offloaded to AI, reasoning, analysis, writing, decision support, research, are more central to professional and intellectual performance than spelling. The breadth of offloading is wider, meaning more functions are affected simultaneously. And the pace of adoption is faster, meaning the dependencies are forming before there has been time to study their effects at scale. The concept of a crutch captures something important that the word dependency does not. A crutch is a support that enables movement but that also prevents the recovery and strengthening of the underlying capability it is substituting for. Using a crutch indefinitely after an injury heals keeps the person mobile but prevents the muscle development that would make the crutch unnecessary. AI tools used in place of developing cognitive capability have a similar structure: they enable performance

while preventing the development of the underlying skill. The result is continued dependence on the tool rather than growing independence from it.

When Anxiety Replaces Confidence

One of the more concerning patterns that researchers and educators have begun documenting is the relationship between AI dependence and anxiety. Students and workers who have developed habits of relying on AI for cognitive tasks often report significant anxiety when those tools are unavailable. This is not simply inconvenience. The anxiety reflects a genuine perception of incapacity: the individual has come to believe that they cannot perform adequately without the AI's assistance. Whether or not that belief is accurate, it shapes behavior in ways that are themselves costly. A 2023 survey of college students conducted by an academic research group found that a significant percentage of respondents reported feeling unable to begin writing assignments without first consulting an AI tool, even when they had adequate knowledge of the topic. A separate survey of professionals in knowledge-work roles found that a meaningful fraction reported feeling less confident in their own judgment when AI tools were unavailable. The pattern is consistent with what behavioral researchers call learned helplessness: a state in which repeated experiences of having an external resource handle a challenge reduces a person's belief in their own ability to handle similar challenges independently. Anxiety has direct performance costs. A student or professional who is anxious about their own capability without AI will avoid practicing the underlying skills, further deepening the dependency. They will be less likely to take intellectual risks, less willing to commit to positions without AI validation, and less able to perform under time pressure when deliberate consultation with AI tools is not an option. The crutch does not just substitute for the skill. It actively undermines the confidence that would be required to build the skill back.

The Feedback Loop of Over-Reliance

Dependencies tend to self-reinforce. A person who relies on AI for writing produces better-looking written output than they would without it. The better output is rewarded with positive feedback, whether a good grade, an employer's approval, or a colleague's compliment. That positive feedback confirms the behavior: AI assistance is working, so the person uses it more. The more they use it, the less they practice independent writing. The less they practice, the worse they would perform without

AI. The worse they would perform without AI, the more dependent they feel on having it available. The cycle tightens over time. This feedback loop is difficult to interrupt because all of the visible signals point in the direction of continued AI use. The work looks good. The grades are acceptable. The employer is satisfied. The discomfort of attempting the task without AI is avoided. What is invisible is the skill development that is not happening, the capability that is not being built, and the future cost that is being accumulated. The cycle does not reveal its downside until the person is in a situation where AI is unavailable, inappropriate, or where the stakes are high enough that AI error is unacceptable. Breaking the feedback loop requires deliberate, sustained effort that runs against the grain of immediate incentive structures. A student who stops using AI for writing will initially produce worse written work than they did with AI assistance. Their grade may drop in the short term. Their teacher may not understand what is happening. The discomfort of the process, the struggle with ideas that used to be effortlessly handled by AI, is real and uncomfortable. Breaking the cycle is an investment in future capability that costs something in the present. Understanding that cost structure clearly is essential for making intentional choices about it.

Technology Dependence Across History: Lessons

Technology dependence is not a new phenomenon, and examining historical cases provides useful perspective. The introduction of calculators in mathematics education in the 1970s and 1980s is one of the most studied cases. Research over the following decades showed that calculator availability in classroom settings, when not carefully managed, correlated with reduced arithmetic fluency and number sense. The research was not universal, some studies found that appropriate calculator use freed cognitive resources for higher-order thinking, but the conditions under which calculator use was beneficial required intentional pedagogical management that did not happen automatically. The introduction of the printing press provides an earlier and more dramatic example. Before printed books were widely available, educated Europeans were required to develop powerful memory systems for storing and recalling information. Medieval scholars could recite entire texts from memory, a capability that declined as printed texts became the primary storage mechanism. The decline was not considered a problem at the time because the benefits of accessible printed information so dramatically outweighed the costs

of reduced memorization. The cognitive infrastructure that was lost, the elaborate memory palace techniques and associated mental discipline, was simply no longer needed. The AI case is more complex than either historical example because AI is not simply a storage or calculation tool. It is a reasoning, analysis, and synthesis tool. The cognitive capabilities at risk from AI dependence are more central to human professional and intellectual performance than calculation fluency or rote memorization. Whether AI offloading of those capabilities eventually proves to be as benign as the printing press shift or as problematic as poorly managed calculator use in mathematics classrooms is an empirical question that will be answered over the coming decades. Making that bet consciously is better than making it by default.

Key Information: AI Dependence and Crutch Dynamics

Detail	Information
Crutch Distinction	Enables performance while preventing recovery of the underlying capability
Spell-Checker Analogy	Mild benign dependency; AI offloading more central and broader in scope
2023 Survey Finding	Significant % of students reported unable to begin writing without AI consultation
Learned Helplessness	Repeated external support reduces belief in own ability to perform independently
Performance Cost of Anxiety	Avoidance of skill practice, reduced risk-taking, poor performance under time pressure
Self-Reinforcing Cycle	Better AI output gets rewarded; more AI use; less practice; deeper dependency
Calculator Research	Unchecked calculator use correlated with reduced arithmetic fluency and number sense
Historical Comparison	Printing press reduced memorization skills; was benign because memory was not load-bearing

Detail	Information
Open Question	Whether AI reasoning offload proves as benign as printing press shift or as costly as unmanaged calculator use

Case Study: Amara, 17, High School Senior Applying to College

Amara had used AI for most of her high school coursework since sophomore year. Her grades were strong, and she had received positive feedback on her writing from teachers who did not detect the AI use. She felt confident about her academic capabilities. When she sat down to write her college application essay, she opened the AI tool first, as usual. But then she hesitated. She had heard that admissions officers were trained to detect AI writing. More importantly, she realized she did not know what she actually thought about the prompt: 'Describe a challenge you have overcome and what it taught you.' She stared at the blank page for forty minutes. Every time she tried to write something in her own voice, she felt like it sounded wrong, too ordinary, not polished enough. She had spent two years reading AI output and had lost her sense of what her own voice even sounded like. 'I don't know how to sound like me anymore,' she typed to a friend. It took her four drafts and three weeks to write a 650-word essay without AI. She said later it was the hardest thing she had done in two years of school.

1. What specific psychological effect, described in the reading, has Amara experienced as a result of her AI dependence, and what are its behavioral consequences?

2. Amara's situation involves a 'feedback loop of over-reliance' as the reading describes it. Trace that loop using specific details from the case study?

Multiple Choice

1. According to the reading, what makes a 'crutch' different from a helpful tool?

a) A support that enables performance while preventing recovery of the underlying capability

b) A crutch is provided by an employer, while a helpful tool is purchased independently

c) A support that becomes unnecessary as soon as the underlying capability recovers on its own

d) A crutch is only used in emergencies, while a helpful tool is used daily

2. What does 'learned helplessness' mean in the context of AI tool use?

a) A condition where AI tools produce incorrect outputs that systematically mislead the user

b) A student who uses AI tools without understanding how they work

c) The process of learning to use AI tools more effectively over time

d) A state where repeated external support reduces a person's belief in their own ability

3. Why is the self-reinforcing feedback loop of AI over-reliance difficult to interrupt?

a) Employers and teachers actively encourage maximum AI use for productivity

b) The process of breaking the cycle requires purchasing expensive new substitute tools

c) All visible signals point toward continued AI use, while the skill cost is invisible

d) AI tools are designed specifically to prevent users from reducing their usage

Matching

Write the letter of the correct definition on the line next to each term.

Term	Definition
1. ___ Crutch	A) Intuitive understanding of numerical magnitude and relationships developed through mathematical practice
2. ___ Learned helplessness	B) A support that enables function while simultaneously preventing recovery of the underlying capability
3. ___ Feedback loop	C) A psychological state where repeated external support reduces confidence in independent capability
4. ___ Number sense	D) A cognitive technique used by medieval scholars to memorize and recall large amounts of information
5. ___ Memory palace	E) A self-reinforcing cycle where an outcome encourages the behavior that produced it

Fill-in-the-Blank

1. Students who rely on AI for cognitive tasks often report significant _____ when those tools are unavailable.

2. Calculator availability in classroom settings, when not carefully managed, correlated with reduced arithmetic fluency and _____ sense.

3. Breaking the feedback loop of AI dependence requires deliberate effort that runs against the grain of immediate _____ structures.

Short Answer

Answer each question in 2-4 complete sentences.

1. The reading distinguishes AI tool dependence from the spell-checker analogy by arguing the risks are 'qualitatively different.' What are the three factors the reading cites that make AI dependence more concerning?

_____

_____

_____

2. The printing press example shows a case where losing a cognitive skill (memorization) was a benign tradeoff. Why does the reading suggest the AI case may not be equally benign?

_____

_____

_____

3. Describe the self-reinforcing feedback loop of AI over-reliance, explaining why each stage makes the next stage more likely.